







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/875,542	06/06/2001	Ruk Peterson	LEH-33	6908
7590 10/07/2003			EXAMINER	
Milton Wolson, Esq.			PATTERSON, MARIE D	
Malina & Wolse 60 East 42nd St			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10165			3728	
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003	3
				0.0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			V			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>ح</u>			
	09/875,542	PETERSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
./*	Marie Patterson	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, use within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6, cause the application to become	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 S</u>	September 2003 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed						
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 455 O.G. 215.				
4) Claim(s) 4-6 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideratio	1.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.) Claim(s) is/are objected to. ·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requiremer	t.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		hutha Fugginas				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception to the						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		disapproved by the Examiner.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	_					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, p					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But	rity documents have	peen received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 	* *	•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/875,542

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fortin (5457898).

Fortin shows a shoe comprising a sole (12), a toe cap (15), an upper (14), and a metàtarsal guard (11) with a convex arch/instep portion (shown in ifgure 1), and a single right and complementary left leg (side portions which lead to the edge 13) as claimed. In reference to the limitations of "only a single", the fact that the single legs shown in Fortin include a slit does not increase the number of legs, and/or the portion forward of the slit can be considered to be a front flange or part of the li0p which overlies the toe cap. In reference to the limitations of "said convex arch portion having a section immediately rearward of the support legs which does not bear against the sole, Fortin clearly shows such at the location of the arrow from number 10 in figure 2. In reference to the limitation of "having no openings therein", the metatarsal guard of Fortin does not have any openings therein, i.e. there are no openings within the periphery of the guard, it is a solid element without holes or openings therein.

3. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krajcir (4908963).

Krajcir shows a shoe with a toe cap (8), sole (5-7), and a metatarsal guard (figure 2b) which has a single concave right support leg (formed by 10, 11, and 14), and a single left support leg (formed by 10, 11, and 14), both legs show a section immediately rearward of the support legs

Application/Control Number: 09/875,542

Art Unit: 3728

which does not bear against the sole (clearly shown in figure 2b), a lip (front element 10) which overlies the toe cap (see figure 1), and the bottom of the legs contacting the top of the sole (shown in figure 1) as claimed. In reference to the limitation of "having no openings therein", the guard of Karjcir shown in figure 2b does not have any openings therein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fortin.

If applicant argues that the legs of Fortin are not considered to be "only a single..." because of the flexibility slit (19) formed in the legs, it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the reamining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. In re Karlson, 135 USPQ 184. It would have been obvious to remove the slit (19) from the shoe of Fortin to provide a stronger and stiffer shoe.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 9/8/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicants' arguments that the prior art shows additional structures,

In response to applicants' arguments the neither Fortin or Krajcir shows legs which are of less width than the convex arch portion, both references clearly show such in the drawings.

Application/Control Number: 09/875,542

Art Unit: 3728

Telephone inquiries regarding the status of application or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, "should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the Examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiners", M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148 or the Tech Center 3700 Customer Service Center number is (703) 306-5648. For applicant's convenience, the Group Technological Center FAX number is (703) 872-9302. (Note that the Examiner cannot confirm receipt of faxes) Please identify Examiner ____ of Art at the top of your cover sheet of any correspondence submitted.

Inquiries only concerning the merits of the examination should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0069.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g. copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, for PTO-892, etc. requests for copies of such papers should be directed to (703) 308-1337.

Check out our web-site at "www.uspto.gov" for fees and other useful information.

Marie Patterson **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3728